CLEARING SHRUBLAND AS A STRATEGY TO ENCOURAGE EXTENSIVE LIVESTOCK FARMING IN THE MEDITERRANEAN MOUNTAINS
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ABSTRACT. The European Mediterranean highlands have undergone a sharp economic decline from the mid-20th century, causing heavy environmental impacts and loss of ecosystem services. These mountain areas have limited opportunities to integrate into the current financial system, which demands high productivity from workers and a very competitive product. Extensive livestock farming is one of the few activities able to survive in this context, as it needs little labour and can make use of poor grazing resources.

In the north-west Iberian Range, northern Spain, the Leza valley (La Rioja) is showing a growing interest in developing extensive livestock farming. To this end, the regional Government is implementing a pasture regeneration plan for clearing shrubland. Since 1986, almost 30% of the shrubs have been cleared, mainly abandoned fields recolonized by Genista scorpius on lime substrate, and Cistus laurifolius on siliceous substrate. This has increased the available pasture, as the average production in G. scorpius areas has risen from 4566 Mj ha−1 yr−1 (δ ± 1271) to 10,167.3 Mj ha−1 yr−1 (δ ± 2850.3), and on C. laurifolius areas from 2435 Mj ha−1 yr−1 (δ ± 492) to 7628.6 Mj ha−1 yr−1 (δ ± 1428.2). The livestock numbers show an upward trend, going from 1833.9 LU in 1972 to 7207.8 LU in 2017, with a simultaneous increase in the size of farms (27.6 LU in 1972 and 115.2 LU in 2017). In addition, new livestock farms established by people from outside the study area (41.7% in 2017). Finally, there is a discussion on the environmental benefits provided by extensive livestock farming.

El desbroce de matorrales como estrategia para fomentar la ganadería extensiva en la montaña mediterránea

RESUMEN. La media montaña mediterránea europea sufre un acusado proceso de marginación económica desde mediados del siglo XX con importantes impactos ambientales y pérdida de servicios ecosistémicos. Estas áreas de montaña tienen escasas posibilidades para integrarse en el sistema económico actual, que exige elevada productividad del trabajador y alta competitividad del producto. Entre las pocas actividades capaces de sobrevivir se incluye la ganadería extensiva, que necesita escasa mano de obra y es capaz de aprovechar recursos pastoraless de escaso valor.

En el valle del Leza (La Rioja, Sistema Ibérico noroccidental, norte de España) existe un creciente interés por el desarrollo de la ganadería extensiva. Para ello, el Gobierno regional desarrolla un plan de regeneración de pastizales a partir del desbroce de matorrales. Desde 1986 se ha desbrozado casi el 30% de la superficie de matorral, fundamentalmente campos abandonados recolonizados por aliares (Genista scorpius) en sustratos calizos y jarales (Cistus laurifolius) en sustratos silíceos. Con ello se incrementa la oferta pastoral, ya que la producción media en los aliares pasa de 4566 Mj ha−1 año−1 (δ ± 1271) a 10,167.3 Mj ha−1 año−1 (δ ± 2850.3) y en los jarales de 2435 Mj ha−1 año−1 (δ ± 492) a 7628.6 Mj ha−1 año−1 (δ ± 1428.2). Los censos ganaderos registran una evolución alcista, pasando de 1833.9 UGM en 1972 a 7207.8 UGM en 2017, aumentando simultáneamente el tamaño de las explotaciones (27.6 UGM en 1972 y 115.2 UGM en 2017). Por otro lado, surgen nuevas explotaciones de ganaderos procedentes de fuera del área de estudio (41.7% en 2017). Se discute sobre los beneficios ambientales que aporta la ganadería extensiva.
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1. Introduction

Mediterranean mountain landscapes in Europe have been created through human use over thousands of years (López de Calle et al., 2001; Svenning, 2002; Blondel, 2006; Kaplan et al., 2009; Ejarque et al., 2010; García-Ruiz and Lasanta, 2018). During several centuries, the inhabitants led almost self-sufficient lives and had to make use of most of the local resources, sometimes with cattle and crops, or just by direct exploitation of the natural resources: wood, firewood, charcoal production, wild mushrooms, hunting, etc. (Blondel, 2006). However, with the industrial revolution, mechanisation of agricultural work, and globalized food and labour markets, many mountain areas have become marginalised, making it difficult to compete with urban and peri-urban areas (Puigdefábregas and Fillat, 1986; Lasanta, 2002; Navarro and Pereira, 2012).

From the mid-20th century, the Mediterranean mountains in Europe have been socio-economically marginalised. Many areas are classified as “poverty traps”, where households have few resources, low returns on investment, lack of opportunities and reduced social services (Conti and Fagarazzi, 2005; Ruben and Pender, 2004; Navarro and Pereira, 2012). This situation has been reached after years of rural exodus, mainly by young people, land abandonment, decreased livestock and pasture, under-utilisation of forestry products and loss of infrastructure and services (García-Ruiz and Lasanta-Martínez, 1990; MacDonald et al., 2000; Sancho-Reinoso, 2013).

Socio-economic marginalisation has caused natural revegetation (Rey Benayas et al., 2007; Stoate et al., 2009; García-Ruiz and Lana-Renault, 2011; Gartzia et al., 2014; Sanjuán et al., 2018), with certain negative impacts: an increase in fire risk, degradation of cultural landscapes, reduction in bio- and eco-diversity, decreased water resources, waste of natural resources (pasture, wood, forestry by-products), loss of productive land and reduction in environmental and leisure services (Conti and Fagarazzi, 2005; Sitzia et al., 2010; García-Ruiz et al., 2011; San Román Sanz et al., 2013; Lasanta et al., 2015). Such impacts do not only affect mountain areas and the local population, but the whole of society. It should be reminded that mountains contribute decisively to providing resources and ecosystem services that are essential for the surrounding areas: water supply, high biodiversity rates, cheap wood and pastures, leisure spaces, cultural landscapes, etc. (Mottet et al., 2006; Viviroli et al., 2003). However, these goods and services are seriously compromised by depopulation and land abandonment (MEA, 2003). For this reason, scientists and land managers have shown growing interest in mountain areas in the last few decades, especially those of the Mediterranean (Gellrich et al., 2007; Stoate et al., 2009; Lasanta et al., 2015).

Since 1975, the European Union has implemented support measures for Less Favoured Areas (LFA), including mountain areas, through the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), in order to prevent rural abandonment and maintain cultural landscapes (Dax, 2005). From then on, support measures have been taken to limit depopulation and encourage sustainable development (compensation payments for mountain areas, agricultural measures, grants to producers, improvement of the efficiency of agrarian structures, agro-forestry measures, LEADER programs, etc.), that have directed
large financial resources. Just the payment of the LFA to farmers, within the Rural Development Plan (2007-2013) came to 12,600 million euros (Directorat e General of Agriculture, 2011). Nevertheless, the aids seem insufficient to keep young farmers or attract new residents (Cooper et al., 2006), so the population continues aging and there is almost no one to take the place of the old farmers who retire (Keenleyside and Tucker, 2010). In the Spanish Pyrenees, for example, public policies have limited effects on rural development; just subsidies to livestock producers help to keep some farms, but many more cease due to retirement without finding a substitute (Bermués et al., 2005; Laguna-Marin-Yaseli and Lasanta-Martínez, 2007).

Most of the mountains in Spain form part of the Mediterranean mountains. They have the disadvantages of mountain areas: severe winters, rugged terrain, poor communications and very small population centres with little capacity to promote the economy. However, they do not share some of the advantages of the high mountains (Alps, Pyrenean valleys, etc.), abundant pasture resources (hay meadows, alpine and sub-alpine pastures) and forestry, accumulated snow (water resources and winter sports), highly attractive landscapes, dynamic population centres and sufficient social services, mostly linked to tourism during recent decades (García-Ruiz and Lasanta-Martínez, 1993; Rescia et al., 2008).

Throughout the 20th century, but especially from the 1950s, the Spanish Mediterranean mountain areas lost about 80% of its population and numerous villages were abandoned (Lasanta, 1990; Collantes, 2004). Social organisation fell apart and the infrastructure and services became very poor. Production reached minimum levels: most of the agricultural area was abandoned, the numbers of livestock farmers fell, and there was almost a complete loss of small artisan workshops and local industry (García-Ruiz, 1976). The very dense occupation of the area by humans for several centuries and the marginalisation from the mid-20th century have given rise to a much altered landscape, with very high erosion, and shrubland succession on the slopes and pine reforestation, while the natural forests occupy small areas (García-Ruiz, 1988; Douglas et al., 1994; Poyatos et al., 2003; Olarieta et al., 2008).

Between the 1950s and 1980s, neither the local inhabitants nor the public administration knew how to manage these depressed areas, which is why they can be called politically marginalised areas from a socio-economic point of view (Kuyvenhoven and Pender, 2004). Land managers only started up a reforestation plan for long-term economic (for wood and paper pulp) and environmental purposes: to regulate the runoff and reduce soil erosion on the slopes to extend the useful life of reservoirs, which led to greater depopulation and abandonment of mountain areas (Ortigosa et al., 1990), although it generated economic growth in some areas and helped to improve natural resources (Marey-Pérez and Rodríguez-Vicente, 2009). When Spain joined the EU in 1986, public policies had to be applied and large amounts of money invested in rural development and preservation of the landscape, with very poor results (Laguna Marin-Yaseli and Lasanta-Martínez, 2007; García-Martínez et al., 2009).

The Iberian Range in La Rioja forms part of the Mediterranean mountain environment, showing all the signs of the developments described above. However, the regional Government of La Rioja has strongly backed extensive livestock farming as a means to keep the mountains alive, preserve the countryside and biodiversity. Especially important among the measures adopted is shrub clearing in selected areas, particularly abandoned fields, to promote the regeneration of pasture and control fire risk (Lasanta et al., 2013). Shrub clearance has been going on for over 30 years and covers a considerable area. In this context, the purpose of this paper is to find out how shrub clearing affects extensive livestock farming by analysing what is involved in the available pastures, the livestock census and the way in which livestock farms are managed.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study area

The Leza valley in the north-western sector of the Iberian mountain range was chosen as the study area, as it represented the characteristics of the Mediterranean mountains. It covers 274.2 Km² and includes 12 municipalities (Fig. 1), which had 645 inhabitants in 2016 (< 3 inhabit. Km⁻²).
Altitudes range between 600 and 1800 m.a.s.l. and during the 20th century, many villages were depopulated, services were lost and deep changes occurred in land use and landscape dynamics: abandoned fields, and less pressure from animals on the slopes, which favour natural revegetation (Lasanta and Errea, 2001; Lasanta, 2014).

![Figure 1. Study area. The Leza Valley.](image)

The dominant lithology includes Mesozoic quartzite, sandstone and limestone. The relief features ridged summits and gentle slopes that only become steeper near riverbeds. Cuadrat and Vicente-Serrano (2008) classified the climate in the Leza valley as Mediterranean mountain. Annual rainfall ranges between 600 and 1000 mm, depending on the altitude and exposure, with more than 60% falling in spring and autumn; the summers are dry. The average annual temperature is about 11ºC at 600 m a.s.l., and 6ºC at 1800 m a.s.l.

The summits of the mountains mostly comprise forests of *Fagus sylvatica* and *Quercus pyrenaica*, while *Quercus rotundifolia* sp. *baltica* grows lower down. Some slopes are covered with pines due to reforestation in the 1970s and 1980s (Ortigosa, 1991). Shrubland containing *Genista scorpius*, *Thymus vulgaris*, *Rosmarinus officinalis* and *Buxus sempervirens* dominate the limestone soils, while *Cistus laurifolius* is mainly found on siliceous substrate (Arnáez et al., 2009).

The landscape transformation processes in this valley, and others parallel to it in the north-western Iberian Range, are still relatively unknown (García-Ruiz et al., 2017). In the Urbión mountains there is information on the deforestation of the montane upland and subalpine areas, with generally local fires from the middle and late Neolithic Age to enlarge summer pastures (García-Ruiz et al., 2016). These fires continued until at least the Middle Ages, because of the need for extensive pastures for transhumance activity. Pollen analysis of the peat bogs in the Sierra Cebollera shows that the forest decreased significantly from 5060 ± 90 yr BP, reflecting the clearing that took place in the vicinity of the peat bogs (Gil-García et al., 1996, 2002; López de Calle and Tudanca, 2014). Similarly, a study of dolmens dating between 6000 and 3500 yr BP at lower than 1400 m.a.s.l. shows the presence of agropastoral societies in the region from relatively early times (López de Calle and Pérez Arrondo, 1995; López de Calle and Tudanca, 2014). In the 18th and 19th centuries, many slopes were ploughed to grow cereals to feed the local population and replace the livestock and textile economy, which was then in crisis following the decline of transhumance at the end of the 18th century (Gómez...
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Urdáñez, 1986; Gómez Urdáñez and Moreno Fernández, 1997). Between the 1950s and 1980s, the population dropped by 72.4%, the agricultural areas were abandoned and the numbers of sheep plunged, while farms arose with imported cattle breeds (Pardo-alpina, Charolaise, Hereford, Limousin, Simmental) managed by outsiders with no livestock experience (García-Ruiz and Lasanta Martínez, 1989; Lasanta and Errea, 2001). The large area of abandoned fields, a pasturing system that was not much watched over by the shepherds and the continuing replacement of sheep for cattle breed promoted shrubland succession, becoming the main feature of the landscape, to the detriment of the pastures (Errea et al., 2009). Therefore, until recent times, a large part of cattle fodder came from feed bought outside the valley (Lasanta, 2009). Therefore, the study has been carried out in a Mediterranean mountain environment under heavy use until the mid-20th century and marginalised since then; a region whose surface is dominated by plant succession, only interrupted by mechanical shrub clearance, and re-population (Lasanta, 2014).

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Gathering information on shrub clearance

Information was obtained from the Agriculture, Livestock and Environment Board of the Regional Government of La Rioja on shrub clearance (regulations and area cleared annually on a municipal scale) in the Sierra Riojana, with special attention to the dossiers from the Leza valley. There is more information on this subject in Lasanta et al. (2013).

2.2.2. Analysis of pastures and quality of grazing

Two types of abandoned fields (with their corresponding pastures) can be distinguished in the study area, depending on soil and bedrock characteristics: (i) On basic soils (over limestone), Genista scorpius is the dominant species, a thorny plant that restricts grazing, although some high-quality herbaceous plants grow underneath; and (ii) on acid soils (over quartzite, microconglomerate and sandstone), Cistus laurifolius prevails, conforming extensive areas with scarce plant diversity. Henceforth, the old G. scorpius and C. laurifolius old fields will be named GS and CL, respectively.

To analyse the availability and quality of pastures in the cleared areas, 18 cleared abandoned fields were selected, depending on the botanic composition: 9 contained mainly G. scorpius and 9 C. laurifolius, with at least 4 inventories made in each field (randomly selected 1m2 plots). Samples were taken in spring, summer and early autumn; they could not be taken in winter as it was not possible to identify the species. These samples aimed to:

- Find out the composition of grazing by making phyto-sociological inventories (in the selected plots all the species were identified).
- Determine the grazing quality of the biomass by calculating the Pasture Value (PV).

Samples prior to clearing were not taken because the existence of a previous study from Marcos et al. (2004), in which the PV in GS and CL was analysed in the Iberian Range, La Rioja.

The Daget and Poissonet (1972) method, later developed by Amella and Ferrer (1979), Ascaso et al. (1996) and Barrantes et al. (2004) was used to calculate the PV.

When making the calculations, species with only a slight presence (+) were not included, following guidelines from Reiné et al. (2005). The cover of each species (Specific Frequency, Fs) was estimated by transforming the phyto-sociological indices of abundance-dominance into percentages, in the following groups: 1 = 2.5%, 2 = 15%, 3 = 37.5%, 4 = 62.5% and 5 = 87.5%. Since the sum of Fs percentages is not normally 100%, the specific contribution (Cs) was calculated next to bring the data up to 100% (Reiné et al., 2005). The formula below was used:

$$Cs = Fs (100 - \% \text{bare soil})/ \sum Fs.$$  

Next, the pasture value was obtained using the following formula:

$$PV = 0.2 \times \sum (Cs \times Is)$$
Where:

“Is” is the index of specific quality attributed to each taxon, with values ranging between 0 and 5. Is values were included for the natural seasons of the year and the annual average for cattle, which is the dominant species in the Leza valley. We used previous studies for this, especially the work by Ferrer et al. (1993) on abandoned fields in the Maestrazgo, southeast Iberian Range, due to the similarity in the species in the inventories and the vicinity of physical conditions in the region.

2.2.3. Calculation of the livestock density supported by the cleared areas

Determining the optimum livestock density of a region is quite complex as several variables intervene, such as land diversity, the type of livestock species, production objectives, and interaction between plants and animals, etc. (García-González and Marinas, 2008). In this case, to obtain the livestock density admissible in the cleared plots, we made some very simple calculations. The admissible density or carrying capacity is the number of animals that can graze in a region, while ensuring the sustainability of the carrying capacity of pasturelands (Freeland and Choquenot, 1990).

The livestock density was expressed in Livestock Units (LU) per unit of surface area. A LU is the equivalent of one cow for meat weighing approximately 500 kg (Fillat et al., 2008). To homogenize the LU count, 1 cow or 1 mare was set at 1 LU, while 1 sheep or 1 goat was equivalent to 0.1 LU. In this paper, the results are expressed as LU ha⁻¹ time⁻¹ unit (month, season, year). Normal estimates put 1 LU for meat needing 8.2 UF per day or 62.40 Mj day⁻¹ (Ascaso, 1990). Some authors also express it in energy units (Kcal) (Martín Bellido et al., 1986). To determine equivalence between grazing production and food needs of livestock, the following expression was used:

UF /ha* season = 15 PV

It must be reminded that the value of 15 was adopted taking into account the environmental conditions in the study area and in line with thoughts from Reine et al. (2005).

2.2.4. Information on numbers and livestock farms

To analyse changes in livestock numbers (census and farms), information was taken from official statistics from the National Statistics Institute in the Provincial Historical Archive of La Rioja, and the District Agricultural Office (OCA) of San Román de Cameros (Regional Government of La Rioja). For farms, the data refers to their composition according to type of livestock and age of the owner.

To find out the status (continuing or ceased) of farms, the list of farms was checked for four dates (1972, 1993, 2007 and 2017) in the above mentioned organisations, and interviews were held in the municipalities in the study area. Professional farms (those with livestock as a main source of income) were differentiated from non-professional (those where livestock complements the main economic activity). As the information source did not specify this detail in the 1972 and 1993 census, it was decided that farms with less than 15 LUs (1972) and 20 LUs (1993) were not professional. For 2007 and 2017, the information was supplied by the OCA in San Román de Cameros. A farm was accepted as having continuity in the later censuses when it remained under the same owner or that of the direct heirs (sons or grandsons). Information was also obtained on the 2017 farms for the origin of the owners, in three groups: 1: owners in the study area, livestock farmers born in the Leza valley; 2: owners related to families in the study area, livestock farmers whose ancestors emigrated, but they returned; 3: owners with no previous ties to the Leza valley.

2.3. Statistical analysis

All data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and homogeneity of variances was tested using Levene’s test. Analysis of variance, ANOVA, was used to compare the differences between variables (e.g. groups). A posteriori, LSD tests were used to confirm where the differences occurred between groups. All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS Statistics 20.
3. Results

3.1. Application of the Clearance Plan by the Regional Government of La Rioja in the Leza Valley

The Regional Government of La Rioja has been clearing shrublands since 1986, in order to promote regeneration of pastures and control fire risk. The aim is to indirectly benefit the municipalities (creating jobs, improving the landscape and preventing environmental problems) and farms (improved availability of grazing land). Interested municipalities apply for a 5-year clearance plan; the maximum surface area to be cleared in this period must be double the number of LUs, in order to direct livestock to cleared areas and control regeneration of the shrubs. Subsidies are set with a limit of 25,000 euros/municipality for a 5-year plan and financed at 420 euros/ha for the first clearance; from 2 to 5 years, a permit to remove shrub that has regrown can be applied for, and a grant of 200 euros/ha and 350 euros/ha, respectively. The cost is borne by the Spanish Government (38.8%), the Government of La Rioja (33.5%), and the European Union through FEADER plans (27.7%).

Clearance to change shrub to good grazing land for cattle comprises simple operations of mechanical clearance (Fig. 2), followed by grazing livestock in cleared areas to control regrowth. Figure 3 shows 2 images of cleared areas where traces of clearance can be observed: (i) the cleared fields are at different heights to extend the grazing period; (ii) cleared areas are combined with areas of shrub and small forests, in order to promote fodder and shelter for wild animals; (iii) steeper slopes and those near ravines have been left uncleared to reduce the risk of soil erosion; (iv) shrub is kept round the edges of the former fields as ecological corridors; (v) shrubs and trees over 1.5 to 2 m tall are left in the fields to promote biodiversity; and (vi) shrubs in cleared areas is for grazing different types of livestock, as cows and sheep prefer herbaceous species, while goats and mares also eat shrub.

Between 1986 and 2016, 32,646 ha were cleared in La Rioja, of which 30,968 ha were cleared in mountain areas, representing 23.6% of shrubland, or 12.04% of the total surface area, and 1678 ha in the foothills of the Iberian Range. In the same period in the Leza valley (Fig. 4), 5390 ha were cleared (29.5% of the total shrublands or 18.1% of the whole valley area). Figure 4a shows the annual evolution of the cleared area, highlighting a strong interannual fluctuation, with years of more than 500 ha cleared (2002-2005) and others with no clearance, either because there were no applications/concessions or because finance was not available. In addition, from 1999 the trend line rises (Figure 4b) due to two reasons: i) the Government of La Rioja promoted the clearance plan more forcefully, having realised the benefits brought from previous years, and ii) since 2001, finance has come from the European Union via Rural Development Programs.

![Figure 2. Tractor clearing an abandoned field covered with Cistus laurifolius.](Image)
Figure 3. (a and b) Cleared areas showing the main characteristics of the old abandoned fields after the removal of the shrub cover.

Figure 4. Evolution of the shrub clearing area in the Leza Valley (1986-2016): (a) annual evolution and (b) accumulated shrub clearing surface.

3.2. Grazing in cleared areas

The phyto-sociological inventories made in cleared fields were used to find the herbaceous species and classify pastures. The fact that the study area has limestone and sandstone means that several plant communities are present, although they all intermingle so it is usually a typical community for both types of substrates. Species from nearby communities frequently appear, such that in some cleared fields a primary mix of *Lolio perennis-Plantaginetum majoris* and *Cynosurion cristati* communities, and a second mix of *Lolio perennis-Plantaginetum majoris* and the *Sisymbrio officinale-Hordeetum murini* communities are recorded (Table 1). This is the consequence of the type of grazing and the effect of shrub clearance, meaning this cover arises from strong human activity. Another fact from the inventories that deserves highlighting is that there are few association characteristics. It must also be pointed out that all the inventoried communities include nitrophilous species, such as *Trifolium repens*, *Capsella bursa-pastoris* and *Plantago major*, as a result of the abundance of organic and nitrogenous material in the ground from livestock excrements. In addition, the constant trampling by livestock explains the relatively plentiful varieties of *Plantago* present.

As stated previously, most of the clearance has been made in former crop fields that were colonised by *G. scorpius* and *C. laurifolius* as the dominant species. Depending on how long ago abandonment occurred, *G. scorpius* appears on basic soils with *Juniperus communis* (juniper), *Rosa* sp., *Crataegus monogyna* (hawthorn), *Buxus sempervirens* (box), *Thymus vulgaris* (thyme) and *Lavandula latifolia* (lavender). Herbaceous species are highly diverse, and among other species there are *Helianthemum* spp., *Avenula* spp., *Brachypodium retusum*, *Bromus erectus*, *Festuca rubra*. *Cistus*
laurifolius dominates on degraded, acid soils, poor in organic matter and highly destructured; it appears together with Ononis spinosa, Lotus corniculatus, Anthyllis vulneraria, etc.

Table 2 includes the main species, grouped by families, identified in the cleared fields. It can be observed that both grasses and leguminous plants, families comprising species with a high forage value, are present in abundance. In an analysis based on the Bray-Curtis classification by the Jaccard index, no significant differences were found between the herbaceous strata in fields formerly dominated by Cistus laurifolius or Genista scorpius, possibly due to their proximity. The taxons appearing in the two groups of fields present very similar herbaceous communities.

Table 1. Taxonomy of the biomass inventory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Orden</th>
<th>Alliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lolio perennis-Plantaginetum majoris Beger 1930</td>
<td>Molinio-Arrhenatheretea</td>
<td>Plantaginetales majoris</td>
<td>Lolio-Plantaginio n majoris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynosurion cristati Tüxen 1947</td>
<td>Molinio-Arrhenatheretea</td>
<td>Arrhenatheretalia</td>
<td>Cynosurion cristati</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sisymbrio officinale-Hordeetum murini Br.-Bl. 1967</td>
<td>Stellarietea mediae</td>
<td>Sisymbrietea officinalis</td>
<td>Sisymbria officinalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromion erecti W. Koch 1926</td>
<td>Festuco-Brometea erecti</td>
<td>Brometalia erecti</td>
<td>Bromion erecti</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lasanta Martínez et al. (2013).

Table 2. Species present in the inventories made.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grasses</td>
<td>Arrhenatherum elatius, Brachypodium ramosum, Bromus erectus, Bromus hordeaceus, Bromus sterilis, Cynosurus cristatus, Dactylis glomerata, Festuca rubra, Lolium multiflorum, Lolium perenne, Phleum pratense, Poa annua, Triticum aestivum, Vulpia myuros, Briza media, Koeleria glauca, Poa pratensis, Hordeum murinum,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leguminous</td>
<td>Dorycnium pentaphyllum, Genista scorpius, Lotus corniculatus, Medicago lupulina, Medicago maculata, Medicago orbicularis, Medicago polymorpha, Medicago sativa, Onobrychis hispanica, Ononis spinosa, Trifolium angustifolium, Trifolium campestris, Trifolium ochroleucum, Trifolium pratense, Trifolium proclumbens, Trifolium repens, Coronilla minima, Vicia orobus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Achillea millefolium, Bellis perennis, Hieracium pilosella, Hypochoeris radicata, Santolina chamaecyparissus, Senecio jacobaea, Leucanthemum vulgare, Taraxacum officinale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>Rubus ulmifolius, Agrimonia eupatoria, Geum sylvaticum, Sanguisorba minor, Crataegus monogyna, Potentilla neumanniana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td>Asperula arvensis, Asperula cynanchica, Galium lucidum, Galium mollugo, Galium saxatile, Galium verum, Sherardia arvensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>Thymus vulgaris, Lamium amplexicaule, Lavandula latifolia, Prunella lactiaria, Salvia verbenaca, Teucrium chamaedrys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>Cistus laurifolius, Helianthemum canum, Convulvulus arvensis, Capsella bursa-pastoris, Knautia purpurea, Scabiosa columbaria, Erica arborea, Veronica agrestis, Centaurium tenuiflorum, Malva neglecta, Malva sylvestris, Plantago lanceolata, Plantago major, Plantago media, Polygala monspeliaca, Polygala nicaeensis, Ranunculus bulbosus, Daucus carota, Eryngium campestris, Aphyllantes monspeliensis, Euphorbia serrata, Erodium cicutarium, Linum catharticum, Linum narbonense, Linum sufruticosum, Muscari neglectum, Thesium humifusum, Veronica arvensis, Cerastium pumilum, Cerastium glomeratum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lasanta et al. (2013).
3.3. Pasture available in cleared areas

Figure 5 compares the annual and seasonal PVs between GS and CL following clearance, taking all inventories into account. The results from the ANOVA test show that these differences are significant (F = 5.709, p = 0.03). On an annual scale, greater growth in GS is observed, with the median value slightly over 80 and a mean value of PV = 89.1. The values of the box plot between 70 and 100 are included. The median of CL falls to approximately 70 (mean value 66.9) and those of the box plot range between 54 and 76. In addition, there was greater difference in the growth of GS (very elongated upper branch), with some plots reaching a high PV, with a top value of 147.1; while in CL the highest PV only reached 83.8. Therefore, the standard deviation in GS is ±25 and in CL ±12.5.

![Figure 5. Annual and seasonal pasture value (PV) in cleared fields, previously covered with Genista scorpius and Cistus laurifolius.](image)

On a seasonal scale, the same behaviour can be seen between the former CL and GS areas, meaning greater growth in the equinoctial seasons, with a primary maximum in spring, and lower in the summer and winter, with the primary minimum in winter. The results of the ANOVA test show significant differences in all cases in both species. For all seasons, the PV is lower in CL than in GS (Fig. 5), although there are only significant differences in summer and autumn between both types of shrubs, with higher values in the latter.

Mean annual productivity in GS is 10,167.3 Mj ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 2850.3$) and 7628.6 Mj ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 1428.2$) in CL. Figure 6 shows that most of the former GS sampled have greater productivity than CL (although the differences are not significant). In fact, the 25th percentile of the former are slightly over 8000 Mj ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, while it is lower than 6500 Mj ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ in the latter. Growth in GS fluctuates between 16,791.5 Mj ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ and 7707.4 Mj ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, while for CL it ranges between 9479 Mj ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ and 5335.8 Mj ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$. The most productive plots for both GS and CL also have some of the following species present: *Trifolium repens*, *Plantago lanceolata*, *Poa pratensis*, *Medicago lupulina*, *Lolium perenne*, *Dactylis glomerata*, *Lotus corniculatus*, *Poa bulbosa* and *Salvia verbenaca*.
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As expected, the seasonal behaviour of the annual PV values is very similar in GS and CL (no significant differences). The highest growth is reached in spring: 4005.5 Mj ha$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 1136.6$) in GL, representing 39.4% of the total, and 3255.6 Mj ha$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 711.6$) in CL (52.7% of the total). In summer, production falls to 2246.5 Mj ha$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 607.85$) in GS (22.1% of the annual total) and to 594.7 Mj ha$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 555.5$) in CL (20.9%). Rainfalls at the end of summer and the beginning of autumn enable species eaten by livestock to re-grow (*Plantago lanceolata*, *Poa pratensis*, *Trifolium repens*, *Lolium perenne*, *Medicago lupulina* etc.), so production rises in autumn to 3150.5 Mj ha$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 1069$) (31% of the annual total) and 2255.6 ($\delta \pm 408.1$) (29.6% of the annual total) in GS and CL ground, respectively. Winter halts the growth cycle in many plants, leading to a decrease in production to 764.8 Mj ha$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 382.15$) (7.5%) in GS, and 523.4 Mj ha$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 175.2$) (6.9%) in CL. In that season, very few species contribute to cattle fodder, the best being: *Poa pratensis*, *Bellis perennis*, *Trifolium repens*, *Bromus erectus*, *Carex humilis*, *Lolium perenne*, etc. It should also be pointed out that growth in spring contributes more to annual production in CL than in GS.

3.4. Admissible livestock density in cleared fields

Table 3 includes the livestock density admissible in cleared plots throughout the year and on a seasonal scale. Potential production is taken into account based on phyto-sociological samples and the PV calculation. Cleared GS fields can feed an average density of 0.45 LU ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 0.12$), and CL fields at 0.33 LU ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 0.06$). The highest food capacity occurs in spring, with a density of 0.71 LU ha$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 0.20$) in GS and 0.06 LU ha$^{-1}$ ($\delta \pm 0.12$) in CL. In the other seasons, the admissible livestock density is lower, although it helps to reduce feed consumption from feedstock. In fact, considering that in the Leza valley 5390 ha were cleared between 1986 and 2016, it can be concluded that in spring the cleared plots feed 3827 LU, if the total cleared areas were formerly GS fields, and 3224 LU if they were CL fields, and over the whole year between 2425 and 1778 LU, respectively. If it is considered that the livestock numbers rose to 7225 LU in 2017, it can be concluded that cleared...
plots can feed in spring approximately between 53% and 44.6% of the total, and throughout the year, between 33.5% and 24.6%, if all cleared fields were GS or CL old fields, respectively.

Table 4 summarises what clearance means for livestock fodder. In the old GS fields, the carrying capacity increase from 0.20 LU ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) to 0.45 LU ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), while in the old CL fields it rises from 0.11 LU ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) to 0.33 LU ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\); i.e. 4.99 ha of GS was needed before clearing and 9.35 ha of CL to feed 1 LU, while 2.24 ha and 2.99 ha respectively are sufficient after clearing.

### Table 3. Admissible livestock density in cleared fields (LU).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gorse shrub</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average density</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rock rose shrub</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average density</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Compilation by the authors.

### Table 4. Carrying capacity of livestock before and after clearances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Grazing production (MJ ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Admissible density (LU ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Surface area (ha)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior to clearing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Genista scorpius</em></td>
<td>4566</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cistus laurifolius</em></td>
<td>2435.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>9.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>After clearing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Genista scorpius</em></td>
<td>10167.34</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cistus laurifolius</em></td>
<td>7628.65</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Surface area required to feed 1 LU throughout the year

Source: For production prior to clearance, Marcos et al. (2004), who use the same system of assessment and the same study area. For production after clearance, the information gathered in this work. Compilation by the authors.

### 3.5. Changes in livestock numbers and farms

Table 5 includes the evolution of the livestock numbers between 1950 and 2017. A reduction in LU is observed between 1950 and 1972, followed by an increase, with census multiplying by 3.9. Currently, and this is an extremely important information, the number of LU in the valley is significantly higher than in 1950, or any other subsequent livestock census. During the period studied, goats suffered a sharp decline from 12,575 head in 1950 to 413 in 2007, but recovered slightly over the last decade (1040 head in 2007). Sheep, the basis for traditional livestock farming, suffered a drastic fall in census between 1950 (17,379 sheep) and 1993 (2826 sheep), with some recovery in recent decades. Horses follow the same trend as sheep, although their number in 2017 (1035 mares) was almost the same as in 1950 (1219 head), with an important difference: in 1950 they were for work on farms, and in 2017 for meat production. The most important change has been with cows, which have increased steadily from the 1970s, and multiplied census by 5.5 between 1972 (983 cows) and 2017 (5412 cows).

Table 6 shows that the number of farms (both the total farms and the professional ones) decreased in the study period. Livestock farms decreased from 186 in 1972 to 77 in 2017, a decrease around 58.6%. The professional farms, i.e. those whose only activity is stockbreeding, fell by 52.8%, from 125 in 1972 to 59 in 2017. In parallel, the proportion of professional farms with respect to the total has increased over the last decade from 57.8% in 2007 to 76.6% in 2017. The average size of professional farms increased sharply between 1972 (27.6 LU) and 2007 (121.7 LU), but showed a slightly decrease in the last decade.
Figure 7 shows the evolution of professional livestock farms since 1972. At that time, there were 125 livestock farms, of which only 2 remained in 2017: 91 were lost from 1972-1993, 20 more from 1993-2007 and 12 more from 2007-2017. In addition, between 1972 and 1993, 53 new livestock farms were created, of which 14 survived in 2017. Between 1993 and 2007, 15 new farms were created, all of which are still operating. Lastly, between 2007 and 2017, another 28 livestock farms were created. Therefore, there are 59 livestock farms at present. Thus, Figure 7 reflects great dynamism in the livestock farms in the Leza valley, and recently a significant trend towards creating new ones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cows</th>
<th>Sheep</th>
<th>Goats</th>
<th>Horses</th>
<th>LU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>17,379</td>
<td>12,575</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>4779.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>14,699</td>
<td>5683</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>4065.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>5616</td>
<td>2893</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1833.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>3645</td>
<td>2826</td>
<td>2426</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>4577.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>4570</td>
<td>4518</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>6141.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5412</td>
<td>6568</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>7207.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Professional</th>
<th>% professional/totals</th>
<th>Average size of professional farms (LU)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>121.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 7. Origin and evolution (1972-2017) of farms in the Leza Valley.
3.6. Livestock farms in 2017

Out of 59 farms in the Leza valley in 2017, 34 belong to farmers born in the valley and so correspond to group 1; 12 to group 2 (owners with ancestors in the Leza valley); and 13 to group 3 (owners with no previous links to the study area). These 28 "outsider" farms have been established over the last two decades.

Figure 8 shows that there are no significant differences among the three groups in the size of the farms ($p = 0.441$). However, more variability is observed in the group 1, and less in the group 2. Group 3 shows the highest average value (126 LU), followed by group 1 (120.6 LU) and group 2 (87.7 LU). Nevertheless, the median value is slightly higher in group 1 (111 LU) than in group 3 (102 LU), whereas group 2 records the lowest median value (68.5 LU).

Figure 9 points out that the owners in group 1 are the oldest, with an average of 56.6 years old and a median of 58, with over 75% of farm owners being over 50 years old. A comparison of the ages of owners in the three groups shows significant differences between group 1 and the other two groups ($p < 0.01$). Group 2 consists of the youngest farmers, with an average age of 38.7 and median value of 35 years old. This group presents the highest variability (owners between 25 and 53 years old). Group 3 lies in the middle, with the farmers’ average age at 45 years old, and the median at 42 (extreme values are 62 and 31 years old).
4. Discussion and conclusions

From the beginning of the 20th century, the Mediterranean mountain areas in Europe have undergone substantial abandonment of its traditional activities (MacDonald et al., 2000; Sancho-Reinoso, 2013), together with widespread growth in shrubland over the landscape (Tasser et al., 2007; Tzanopoulos et al., 2007; García-Ruiz and Lana-Renault, 2011; Alados et al., 2009). In the Spanish mountains, abandonment was particularly drastic in the decades between 1950 and 1970. Thus, cultivation ceased on most of the slopes (terraces, steep fields) and mountain agriculture concentrated on the valley floors, while the number of livestock farms decreased rapidly. This evolution was the consequence of strong limitations (topographical, climatic, lack of infrastructure, highly degraded landscape due to intense, historical human pressure, etc.) and the resulting depopulation faced by these mountains to integrate into a dynamic economy (García-Ruiz and Lasanta-Martínez, 1990; Lasanta et al., 2005; Shengfa and Xiubin, 2017). Currently, only very extensive systems requiring little manpower, such as extensive livestock farming, can be economically viable, provided that the farms reach a critical size and have abundant, cheap pasture. On the other hand, extensive livestock farming can generally contribute to landscape and soil conservation, which is also a guarantee of sustainability and biodiversity (Peco et al., 2006; Bernués et al., 2014).

This article has shown the experiences of the Leza valley, in which the regional Government of La Rioja has tried to promote extensive livestock farming through shrub clearing in selected areas. Between 1986 and 2016, 5390 ha were cleared, almost 30% of the surface area hitherto covered by shrub. Most of the clearance occurred on abandoned fields, where the process of secondary succession led to the dominance of G. scorpius shrub on limestone substrate, and C. laurifolius on siliceous substrate (Arnáez et al., 2011). Removing shrub extends pastures with high quality species for livestock at a relatively low cost. This study has demonstrated that in areas of G. scorpius these are multiplied by 2.2, from 4566 Mj ha\(^{-1}\) to 10,167.3 Mj ha\(^{-1}\) and in areas of C. laurifolius by 3.1 (from 2435.2 Mj ha\(^{-1}\) to 7628.6 Mj ha\(^{-1}\)). This is explained by the presence of several plants with high grazing value under the shrub (Reiné et al., 2014). Most of the pasture is produced in spring and autumn, while it decreases in summer, due to higher evapo-transpiration and because some plants do not recover after the spring grazing; it also decreases in winter, due to the plant cycle halting. Even so, shrub clearance encourages the movement of livestock and helps to reduce the lack of pasture, especially in the most critical seasons (winter and summer), since the cleared areas are accessible throughout the year, as it also occurs in the Spanish Pyrenees (Lasanta et al., 2006). It is noteworthy that the seasonal imbalance in the availability of pasture is one of the most limiting factors in implementing extensive livestock farming in the Mediterranean mountains (Cunningham, 1982; Puidefàbregas and Fillat, 1986).

An important issue is that clearing leads to a greater increase in pasture than that which can be deduced from the analyses performed (see Table 3). The reason is that fields completely colonised with shrubs cannot be grazed, even though herbaceous plants good for grazing grow under the shrub. Therefore, clearing means that the old abandoned fields can feed 0.45 LU in the case of GS and 0.33 LU in the case of CL. It must also highlighted that the cleared areas are an attraction for livestock, as when they move towards them, they graze in nearby areas that would not be visited otherwise (Valdelvira and Balcells, 1986; Lécrivain and Beylier, 2004).

The best pasture resources have enabled an increase in livestock numbers, going from 1833.9 LU in 1972 to 7207.8 LU in 2017, which means that they have multiplied by 3.9 in 45 years. The CAP has helped a great deal with this increase (García-Martínez et al., 2011), also the clearance policy of the regional Government of La Rioja, since the Leza valley has seen a much higher increase in livestock census that other mountain regions in Spain (García-Martínez et al., 2009). In that sense, in the Aragonese Pyrenean valleys, with higher livestock resources than those in the study area (meadows and subalpine and alpine pastures), the livestock census decreased (10.3%) between 1972 and 2009, from 27,993 LU to 25,103 LU. On the other hand, the number of livestock farms has undergone a sharp decrease since 1972, because optimizing profits from extensive livestock requires a criticalminimum dimension before receiving a return (Veyssier et al., 2005; Lasanta and Laguna Marin-Yaseli, 2007). In this respect, the average size of the farms is much larger in the study area (115.2 LU) than in Spain as a whole (53 LU), whereas the average age of the owners (MARM, 2010) is lower in the study area. The availability of new pastures and grazing facilities arising from shrub
clearance has encouraged the creation of new farms (41.7%), mainly managed by people under 40 years old. This promotes continuing livestock farming in the medium term, provided that the public policies supporting livestock remain (Dobbs and Pretty, 2004; Foran, 2007; García-Martínez et al., 2009; Puig et al., 2011).

Extensive livestock needs (i) abundant natural pastures that are relatively accessible, (ii) pasture systems based on a knowledge of the heterogeneity of the region and the seasonal variability in productivity, and (iii) young, entrepreneurial workers willing to accept the drawbacks involved in farming in marginal mountain areas. Consequently, clearance policies for former crop fields are a decisive basis in facilitating livestock grazing, attracting new entrepreneurs and lowering production costs. It must not be forgotten that in marginal regions such as the Mediterranean mountains, the expansion of shrubland during the 20th century was a significant factor when explaining the lack of enthusiasm in many farmers, especially the younger ones. In fact, they were the ones who cited the expansion of shrub as one of the most serious problems in developing livestock (Fernández-Giménez and Fillat, 2012; Lasanta et al., 2016).
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